## Statistics on Elder Abuse Cases

Based on the information collected by the Central Information System on Elder Abuse Cases, the statistics on newly reported elder abuse cases for the period from January to December 2019 are as follows:

## Statistics of Type of Elder Abuse Cases

| Types of Abuse | No. of Cases |
| :--- | :---: |
| Physical abuse | 331 |
| Psychological abuse | 41 |
| Neglect | 5 |
| Financial abuse | 71 |
| Abandonment | 0 |
| Sexual abuse | 6 |
| Others | 0 |
| Multiple abuse | 34 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8 8}$ |

## Statistics of Types of Abuse by Victim's Sex

| Type of Abuse | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of <br> Victims | $\mathbf{\%}^{\text {Note }}$ | No. of <br> Victims | $\mathbf{\%}$ | No. of <br> Victims | $\mathbf{\%}^{\text {Note }}$ |
| Physical abuse | 123 | $74.1 \%$ | 208 | $64.6 \%$ | 331 | $67.8 \%$ |
| Psychological <br> abuse | 11 | $6.6 \%$ | 30 | $9.3 \%$ | 41 | $8.4 \%$ |
| Neglect | 2 | $1.2 \%$ | 3 | $0.9 \%$ | 5 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Financial abuse | 20 | $12.0 \%$ | 51 | $15.8 \%$ | 71 | $14.5 \%$ |
| Abandonment | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Sexual abuse | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 6 | $1.9 \%$ | 6 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Others | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Multiple abuse | 10 | $6.0 \%$ | 24 | $7.5 \%$ | 34 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Note: Figures do not add up to totals due to rounding.

## Statistics of Relationship between Victim and Abuser

| Relationship with Victim | No. of Abusers | \% $^{\text {Note }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Son | 50 | $10.2 \%$ |
| Daughter | 18 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Son-in-law | 8 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Daughter-in-law | 8 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Spouse | 297 | $60.9 \%$ |
| Grandchildren | 4 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Relative | 20 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Friend / Neighbour | 18 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Un-related person living with the victim | 6 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Domestic helper | 41 | $8.4 \%$ |
| Agency staff providing service to victim | 8 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Others | 10 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Note: Figure\| |  |  |

Note: Figures do not add up to totals due to rounding.

## Statistics of Victim's Residential District

| Residential District | No. of Victims | $\mathbf{\%}^{\text {Note }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Central / Western | 30 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Southern | 13 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Islands | 7 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Eastern | 30 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Wan Chai | 7 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Kowloon City | 15 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Yau Tsim Mong | 16 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Sham Shui Po | 29 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Wong Tai Sin | 33 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Sai Kung | 17 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Kwun Tong | 47 | $9.6 \%$ |
| Sha Tin | 49 | $10.0 \%$ |
| Tai Po | 27 | $5.5 \%$ |
| North | 18 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Yuen Long | 47 | $9.6 \%$ |
| Tsuen Wan | 15 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Kwai Tsing | 51 | $10.5 \%$ |
| Tuen Mun | 36 | $7.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | 488 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Not Figus |  |  |

Note: Figures do not add up to totals due to rounding.

